

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DAT | TE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/775,351 | 02/10/200 |)4 | Warren M. Farnworth | 6105US (03-0885.00/US) | 3156 |
| 24247 | 7590 05/ | /06/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550 | | | KOCH, GEORGE R | | |
| SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110 | | 110 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE | |
| | | | | 1734 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 1/1 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | • | | | | | |
| | 10/775,351 | FARNWORTH, WAI | RREN M. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | George R. Koch III | 1734 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wit | th the correspondence addi | ress | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reeply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/4 | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits | | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | • | | | | | |
| · | o n | | | | | | | |
| I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7 and 9-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | • | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | he drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the content | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | • | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | • | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bure | , | ropois and | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | ist of the certified copies not | received. | | | | | | |
| | | •• | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | • — | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/10/2004</u>. | | s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO- | 152) | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/775,351

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I and species A in the reply filed on 4/4/2005 is acknowledged. Applicant has both indicated that claims 1-4 and 6-8 and claims 1-4 and 8 are covered by the species. Since claims 6 and 7 are dependent on claim 5, which applicant indicates is another species, only claims 1-4 and 8 are examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ushijima (US 5,393,624).

Ushijima discloses system for selectively depositing a material on a previously formed workpiece, comprising a platform (Figure 2, item 10) for supporting the workpiece during a deposition process, a sensing system (Figure 2, items 15, 16, and 507) for measuring an upper surface of the workpiece and a surface level of a material deposited on the upper surface of the workpiece until the surface level of the material corresponds to a specific thickness of the material (see, for example, Figures 10 and 11); and a deposition system (item 13) for depositing the material on the workpiece to the specific thickness as monitored by the sensing system (see Figures 10 and 11).

Art Unit: 1734

As to claim 2, Ushijima discloses that the deposition system is a spin-coating deposition system (see Figures 10 and 11).

As to claim 3, Ushijima discloses that the sensing system includes a sensor (item 15) for both measuring the upper surface of the workpiece (prior to deposition) and for monitoring the surface level of the material deposited on the upper surface of the workpiece (during deposition).

As to claim 8, Ushijima discloses coating a semiconductor wafer (recited, for example, at column 4, line 65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
 - 6. Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushijima as applied to claims 1-3 and 8 above, and further in view of Whitman (US 6,642,155).

Application/Control Number: 10/775,351

Art Unit: 1734

As to claim 4, Ushijima discloses measuring the upper surface of the workpiece and the surface level of the deposited material (see rejection of claim 3 above), but does not disclose using separate sensors for each function.

However, Whitman discloses that it is known in measuring the thickness during spin coating operations to utilize multiple sensors. Whitman uses to the multiple sensors to track coated and uncoated areas in order to properly coordinate the coating operation (as described in column 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized such sensors in order to achieve coordination of the coating operation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-866-377-8642 and giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R. Koch III Patent Examiner Art Unit 1734

GRK 5/1/2005